From: Schlanger & SchlangiFax: (814) 846-1981 To: 19143904298@icfax.cc Fax: +19143904298 Page :2 of 2 6/23/2012 4:06 Case 7:11-cv-01037-ER-GAY Document 28 Filed 05/25/12 Page 1 of 1

## SCHLANGER & SCHLANGER, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MEMO ENDORSED

343 MANVILLE ROAD
PLEASANTVILLE, NEW YORK 10570
TEL: 914-946-1981 FAX: 914-946-2930
DANIEL@SCHLANGERLEGAL.COM
WWW.NEWYORKCONSUMERPROTECTION.COM

COPY

Edgardo Ramos, U.S.D.J.

Dated: 5/24/12 White Plains, New York

MICHAEL SCHLANGER, ESQ. DANIEL A. SCHLANGER, ESQ. "ADMITTED IN NY & OH

ELIZABETH SHOLLENDERGER, ESQ. PETER T. LANE, ESQ. \*ADMITTED IN NY & MA MANHATTAN OFFICE 9 East 40th Street, Suite 1300 New York, New York 10016

The application is

granted.

May 23, 2012

VIA FAX (914-390-4298)

Hon. Edgardo Ramos United States District Court, SDNY 300 Quarropas Street White Plains, NY 10601-4150

> Res Index:

Coble et al. v. Cohen & Slamowitz, LLP et al. 11-CV-01037 (ER)(GAY)

15,2012

Your Honor:

DOCUMENT

SDNY

ce:

ELECTRONICALLY

DATE FILED:

This office, along with co-counsel, Klein, Kavanagh, Costello, LLP, represents Plaintiffs in the above referenced class action, brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq. On 5/15/12, Plaintiffs submitted a pre-motion letter to the Court regarding what Plaintiffs contend is Defendants' unjustified refusal to produce the Collection, Correspondence and Court files of the non-named class members. Defendants responded by letter dated 5/8/12, and the dispute regarding production of these documents was subsequently referred to Magistrate Yanthis by Order dated 5/14/12. Magistrate Yanthis has scheduled a telephone conference on the issue for 6/15/12.

I write on behalf of all parties to request that the deadline for conducting non-expert depositions, ourrently set for June 1st, be extended until 60 days after Magistrate Yanthis decides the discovery dispute. This will allow the depositions to take place after any additional production ordered by the Court has occurred. Magistrate Yanthis' Chambers has indicated that, because only the discovery dispute itself has been referred to the Magistrate, this joint request regarding the deposition deadline should be addressed to Your Honor.

There are currently eleven noticed depositions in this matter: Defendants have noticed depositions for each of the three named Plaintiffs as well as two non-parties; Plaintiffs have noticed four of the fendants and two non-parties. One of Defendants' non-party depositions is noticed to take place in the parties are confident that they can complete these eleven depositions prior to the extended deadline.

Daniel A. Schlanger

T. Leghorn, Esq.; J. Alward, Esq.; O. Klein, Esq.;

J. McGowan, Esq.; E. Shollenberger, Esq.